1	MELINDA HAAG (CSBN 132612) United States Attorney
2	JOANN M. SWANSON (CSBN 88143) Chief, Civil Division
3	JAMES A. SCHARF (CSBN 152171) Assistant United States Attorney
4	150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900
5	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (498) 535-5044
6	Facsimile: (408) 535-5081
7	Attorneys for Federal Defendants
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	PETER B. ROLLINS, ) No. C 12-2047 PSG
12	Plaintiff,
13	) [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER RE
14	v. ) DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE
15	RAYMOND E. MABUS, SECRETARY OF) THE NAVY, KENNETH W. BENCH,
16	JEFFREY S. PRAY, SHAYNE GARDNER ) and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
17	Defendants.
18	On July 5, 2012, federal defendants filed a non-dispositive motion to dismiss. Document
19	9. In that motion, federal defendants argued:
20	(1) Plaintiff's tort claims for defamation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and
21	assault must be dismissed because Title VII is plaintiff's exclusive remedy.
22	(2) Plaintiff's tort claims are defective because (a) they are barred by sovereign immunity
23	because plaintiff has not exhausted his administrative remedies as required by the FTCA; (b)
24	individual federal defendants are not proper defendants under the FTCA; (c) defamation and
25	• •
26	assault claims are excepted from the FTCA; and (d) the FTCA bars claims for punitive damages.
27	
28	PROPOSED ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE Case No. C 12-2047 PSG

(3) Plaintiff's Title VII causes of action contain defective claims that fail Title VII's timeliness and administrative exhaustion requirements because (a) those claims involve discrete acts that must meet Title VII's timeliness and administrative exhaustion requirements; (b) plaintiff's discrimination and retaliation claims regarding reassignment fail Title VII's timeliness requirement; and (c) plaintiff's discrimination claim regarding light duty assignments fail Title VII's administrative exhaustion requirement.

(4) Plaintiff's retaliation claim regarding plaintiff's AWOL charges must be dismissed under Rule 12(b)(6).

On August 15, 2012, plaintiff filed a statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss. Document 13.

On August 21, 2012, defendant's motion to dismiss and a case management conference came on for hearing. Eugene S. Thompson appeared for plaintiff Peter B. Rollins. Assistant United States Attorney James A. Scharf appeared for the federal defendants. Plaintiff Peter B. Rollins was also present in the courtroom. At the hearing, the parties jointly proposed that the Court issue an order on defendant's pending motion to dismiss, clarifying which claims survive defendant's motion, and requiring defendant to file an answer to plaintiff's Complaint for Damages filed April 24, 2012, Document 1, as modified by the Court's order on defendant's motion to dismiss. The Court directed AUSA Scharf to submit a proposed order after hearing, including a proposed case management schedule, for the Court's review.

For the reasons set forth in defendant's unopposed motion to dismiss, and pursuant to the agreement of the parties, the Court hereby dismisses with prejudice all claims against all defendants except plaintiff's claim for discrimination under Title VII against Raymond E. Mabus, Secretary of the Navy, based on plaintiff being charged with AWOL and receiving a Decision on his Proposed Suspension.

Defendant shall file an answer to plaintiff's Complaint for Damages filed April 24, 2012, as modified by this Order, within 30 calendar days of the filing of this Order.

PROPOSED ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE Case No. C 12-2047 PSG

## Case 5:12-cv-02047-PSG Document 17 Filed 08/23/12 Page 3 of 3

1	Further, again pursuant to the agreement of the parties, the Court adopts the following
2	case management schedule as proposed in the parties' joint case management conference
3	statement:
4	Fact Discovery Cutoff: March 1, 2013
5	Plaintiff's Expert Disclosure with Reports: March 15, 2013
6	Defendant's Expert Disclosure with Reports: April 12, 2013
7	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure with Reports: May 3, 2013
8	Expert Discovery Cutoff May 17, 2013
9	Motions Hearing Deadline June 4, 2013
10	Final Pretrial Conference August 6, 2013, 2:00 p.m.
11	Jury Trial August 19, 2013
12	Good cause appearing, and pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, it is so ordered.
13	Dated: August 45, 2012
14	Pore S. Aure
15	United States Magistrate Judge
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
17	Dated: August 22, 2012
18	
19	MELINDA HAAG
20	United States Attorney
21	James A. Scharf
22	Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendant
23	Attorney for Defendant
24	/S/
25	Eugene S. Thompson Attorney for Plaintiff
26	Attorney for Frantisis
27	
28	PROPOSED ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE  Garage No. 6, 12, 2047 PSG

Case No. C 12-2047 PSG